

An exhaustive classification of aligned Petrov type D purely magnetic perfect fluids

Norbert Van den Bergh[‡] and Lode Wylleman[§]

Faculty of Applied Sciences TW16, Gent University, Galglaan 2, 9000 Gent, Belgium

Abstract. We prove that aligned Petrov type D purely magnetic perfect fluids are necessarily locally rotationally symmetric and hence are all explicitly known.

PACS numbers: 0420

1. Introduction

There has been a recent surge of interest [1–10] in purely gravito-magnetic space-times, which are defined as (non-conformally flat) space-times for which a time-like congruence v exists such that the gravito-electric part of the Weyl-tensor with respect to v vanishes:

$$E_{ac} \equiv C_{abcd}v^b v^d = 0. \quad (1)$$

The resulting space-times are then necessarily [11] of Petrov type I or D, with the congruence v being uniquely defined for Petrov type I and with v an arbitrary timelike vector in the plane of repeated principal null directions for Petrov type D [12, 13]. Whereas large and physically important classes of examples exist for purely gravito-electric space-times (for example all the static space-times are purely electric), little information is available for the purely magnetic ones. This is particularly true for the vacuum solutions (with or without Λ term), where no purely gravito-magnetic solutions are known at all. This has lead to the conjecture that purely gravito-magnetic vacua do not exist [11], but so far this has only been proved when the Petrov type is D [11], or when the timelike congruence v is shearfree [14], non-rotating [15, 16], geodesic [17], or satisfies certain technical generalisations of these conditions [18, 19]. In [20, 21] the non-existence of irrotational purely magnetic models was generalised to space-times with a vanishing Cotton tensor.

For perfect fluid models on the other hand, with

$$G_{ab} \equiv R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} = (w + p)u_a u_b + pg_{ab}, \quad (2)$$

[‡] e-mail: norbert.vandenbergh@ugent.be

[§] Research assistant supported by the Fund for Scientific Research Flanders(F.W.O.), e-mail: lwyllema@cage.ugent.be

a proof has recently [22] been given that, when the pressure is constant and the vorticity is zero (the so called anti-Newtonian universes), no space-times exist for which (1) holds with respect to u . For non-constant pressure little work so far has been done on the algebraically general case, with the exception of [23], in which an example is given of a non-rotating, non-accelerating magnetic perfect fluid of Petrov type I, and research so far has been concentrated on the ‘aligned’ Petrov type D solutions (see however also [24]). These are defined as perfect fluid models for which the fluid velocity u is aligned with the repeated principal null directions k and l of the Weyl tensor. For a purely magnetic space-time it is then clear that the property (1) holds with respect to u , see also [13]. Alternatively, if (1) holds with respect to the fluid velocity, then imposing the Petrov type D condition automatically implies that the fluid is aligned. Remarkably all known aligned Petrov type D purely magnetic perfect fluids are locally rotationally symmetric (LRS): this holds e.g. in the non-rotating case for the $p = \frac{1}{5}w$ Collins-Stewart space-time [25] and the Lozanovski-Aarons metric [8], and in the rotating case, for the stationary and rigidly rotating model of [7], and for all their LRS generalisations [9, 10]. Actually it was proved in [9, 10], making use thereby of an earlier result on the shear-free solutions [13], that non-rotating or shear-free purely magnetic aligned Petrov type D perfect fluids are necessarily LRS of Ellis’ class III or I and that the resulting metric forms, which could all be explicitly determined, thereby exhaust the LRS purely magnetic perfect fluid solutions.

In the present paper we go one step further, by demonstrating that the LRS family completely exhausts the aligned Petrov type D purely magnetic perfect fluids. We will make use of the Newman-Penrose formalism and follow the notation and sign conventions of [26], whereby the Newman-Penrose equations (7.21a – 7.21r) and Bianchi identities (7.32a – 7.32k) will be indicated as (np1 – np18) and (b1 – b11) respectively. All calculations were carried out using the Maple symbolic algebra package^{||}.

2. Main equations

We use a canonical type D tetrad, with

$$\Psi_0 = \Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = \Psi_4 = 0, \quad (3)$$

with the condition (1) being expressed as

$$\overline{\Psi_2} = -\Psi_2 \quad (4)$$

Choosing a boost in the (k, ℓ) plane such that the fluid velocity $u = (k + \ell)/\sqrt{2}$ and introducing $S = w + p$ as a new variable, one has

$$\Phi_{00} = \Phi_{22} = 2\Phi_{11} = \frac{S}{4} \text{ and } R = 4w - 3S. \quad (5)$$

^{||} A module for manipulation of the Newman-Penrose equations can be obtained from the authors.

Substitution of the conditions (3,4,5) in the Bianchi identities (b1), (b2), $\Im(b3)$, (b4), (b3) – (b6), $\Im(b6)$, (b7) + $\overline{(b2)}$, (b8) – (b5), (b9), (b10), (b11) one obtains straightforwardly the algebraic restrictions

$$\lambda = \sigma = \nu + \bar{\kappa} + 3\bar{\tau} + 3\pi = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$18\Psi_2(\rho - \bar{\rho} + \bar{\mu} - \mu) - S(\rho + \bar{\rho} - \mu - \bar{\mu} + 2\epsilon + 2\bar{\epsilon} - 2\gamma - 2\bar{\gamma}) = 0 \quad (7)$$

together with

$$\delta\Psi_2 = -\frac{1}{12}(2\bar{\alpha} + 2\beta - \kappa - \bar{\nu} + \bar{\pi} + \tau)S + (\kappa + 3\tau)\Psi_2 \quad (8)$$

$$D\Psi_2 = \frac{3}{2}(\rho + \bar{\rho})\Psi_2 + \frac{S}{8}(\rho - \bar{\rho} + \mu - \bar{\mu}) \quad (9)$$

$$\Delta\Psi_2 = -\frac{3}{2}(\mu + \bar{\mu})\Psi_2 - \frac{S}{8}(\rho - \bar{\rho} + \mu - \bar{\mu}) \quad (10)$$

$$\delta S = -12\kappa\Psi_2 + (2\bar{\alpha} + 2\beta + \kappa - \bar{\pi})S \quad (11)$$

$$\delta w = -12\kappa\Psi_2 + 2(\bar{\alpha} + \beta - \bar{\pi} - \tau)S \quad (12)$$

$$Dw = \frac{1}{2}(D - \Delta)S + \frac{S}{2}(\rho + \bar{\rho} - \mu - \bar{\mu} + 2\gamma + 2\bar{\gamma}) \quad (13)$$

$$\Delta w = -\frac{1}{2}(D - \Delta)S + \frac{S}{2}(\rho + \bar{\rho} - \mu - \bar{\mu} - 2\epsilon - 2\bar{\epsilon}) \quad (14)$$

as well as an expression for $(D - \Delta)S$,

$$(D - \Delta)S = -18(\rho - \bar{\rho})\Psi_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\rho + \bar{\rho} - \mu - \bar{\mu} - 2\gamma - 2\bar{\gamma})S, \quad (15)$$

which in combination with (7) could be used for further simplification of Dw and Δw : this however turns out to be disadvantageous when applying e.g. the $[\delta, D + \Delta]$ commutator to w .

The expressions for shear, vorticity, expansion and acceleration, simplified by means of (6), are presented in the appendix.

The key observation is now that the combination $3(np7) + (np10) + \overline{(np2)} - 3\overline{(np16)}$ factorises as follows:

$$(\alpha + \bar{\beta})(2\bar{\kappa} + 3\pi + 3\bar{\tau}) = 0. \quad (16)$$

In the next paragraphs we will discuss the resulting cases separately: in section 3 it is shown that $2\bar{\kappa} + 3\pi + 3\bar{\tau} = 0$ leads to local rotational symmetry, while in section 4 we prove that no solutions exist when $2\bar{\kappa} + 3\pi + 3\bar{\tau} \neq 0$.

3. $2\bar{\kappa} + 3\pi + 3\bar{\tau} = 0$

In this case one obtains from (7)

$$\kappa = \bar{\nu} = -\frac{3}{2}(\tau + \bar{\pi}) \quad (17)$$

after which (b5) can be solved for β :

$$\beta = -\bar{\alpha} + \tau + \bar{\pi} \quad (18)$$

Then however $\overline{(np2)} - (np10)$ implies

$$\tau + \bar{\pi} = 0, \quad (19)$$

which means that the vorticity vector and the spatial gradient of w are parallel with the vector $k - \ell$. From the equations $(np1), (np3), (np7), (np9), (np11), (np13), (np14)$ one easily obtains then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\rho &= \bar{\pi}(\bar{\rho} - \rho) \\ D\rho &= \rho(\rho + \epsilon + \bar{\epsilon}) + \frac{S}{4} \\ \bar{\delta}\mu &= \pi(\bar{\mu} - \mu) \\ \Delta\mu &= -\mu(\mu + \gamma + \bar{\gamma}) - \frac{S}{4} \\ \bar{\delta}\pi &= -\pi(\pi + 2\alpha) \\ D\pi &= \pi(\bar{\epsilon} - \epsilon) \\ \Delta\pi &= \pi(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

while the combination $\overline{(np18)} - (np15) - (np5) - \overline{(np4)}$ results in the relation

$$\delta(\gamma + \bar{\gamma} - \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon}) + \bar{\pi}(\gamma + \bar{\gamma} - \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon} + \mu - \bar{\rho}) = 0. \quad (21)$$

Using (7) to simplify the expression which results by acting with the commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta]$ on w , we find a further factorisation,

$$S(\rho + \bar{\rho} - \mu - \bar{\mu})(\rho - \bar{\rho} + \mu - \bar{\mu}) = 0. \quad (22)$$

As we can ignore the Einstein spaces ($S = 0$), for which the non-existence of purely magnetic Petrov type D solutions was demonstrated in [11], solutions are either vorticity-free ($\rho - \bar{\rho} + \mu - \bar{\mu} = 0$) or are rotating and have $\rho + \bar{\rho} - \mu - \bar{\mu} = 0$. In the latter case θ_{33} (see appendix) is the only possible non-zero component of the expansion tensor and below we show that actually $\theta_{ab} = 0$.

The first case is the one treated in [9]. The application of the $[\delta, D + \Delta]$ commutator to w results then in

$$\bar{\rho} - \mu = \rho - \bar{\mu} \quad (23)$$

$$\delta(\bar{\rho} - \mu + \gamma + \bar{\gamma} - \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon}) = 0, \quad (24)$$

with which (21) simplifies to

$$\delta(\bar{\rho} - \mu) + \bar{\pi}(\bar{\rho} - \mu + \bar{\epsilon} + \epsilon - \bar{\gamma} - \gamma) = 0 \quad (25)$$

and hence, using (20),

$$\bar{\pi}(\mu - \bar{\rho} + \gamma + \bar{\gamma} - \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon}) = 0. \quad (26)$$

If $\pi \neq 0$ then (7) results in $\Psi_2(\mu - \bar{\mu}) = 0$, after which the real part of $(np12)$ would imply $\Psi_2 = 0$. Therefore $\pi, \tau, \kappa, \nu, \lambda, \sigma$ and hence also δR , are all 0: the solutions are

then LRS according to the theorem by Goode and Wainwright [27]. The resulting metrics are explicitly described in [9].

When the vorticity is non-zero, necessarily

$$\rho + \bar{\rho} - \mu - \bar{\mu} = 0, \quad (27)$$

and (7) simplifies to

$$18\Psi_2(\rho - \mu) + S(\gamma + \bar{\gamma} - \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon}) = 0. \quad (28)$$

Applying next the $[\delta, \Delta]$ and $[\delta, D]$ commutators to w , making use of (15) and eliminating $\delta(\gamma + \bar{\gamma} - \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon})$ from the resulting equations by means of (21), results in the following linear system for $\delta\mu$ and $\delta\bar{\rho}$:

$$S\delta(\mu) + (36\Psi_2 - S)\delta\bar{\rho} + 108\pi(\rho - \bar{\rho})\Psi_2 + \pi(4\gamma + 4\bar{\gamma} - 4\epsilon - 4\bar{\epsilon} + \rho - \bar{\mu} - 5\bar{\rho} + 5\mu)S = 0 \quad (29)$$

$$S\delta\mu - (S + 12\Psi_2)\delta\bar{\rho} - 36\pi(\rho - \bar{\rho})\Psi_2 + \pi(\mu - \bar{\mu} + \rho - \bar{\rho})S = 0. \quad (30)$$

Solving this system for $\delta\mu$ and $\delta\bar{\rho}$ allows one to apply the δ operator to the defining equation (27), from which one obtains

$$\pi(18(\rho - \mu)\Psi_2 + S(\bar{\rho} - \mu)) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Again observe that $\pi \neq 0$ is not allowed: equations (27, 28, 31) imply then $\Psi_2(\rho - \mu) = 0$ and hence also $\bar{\rho} - \mu = 0$, in contradiction with the assumptions that the vorticity is non-zero, namely $\rho - \bar{\rho} \neq 0$, that Ψ_2 is imaginary and S is real.

We conclude that $\pi = 0$: just as for the non-rotating case the conditions of the Goode-Wainwright theorem are then satisfied and solutions are LRS. They are therefore shearfree [10] and the metric forms are discussed in detail in [10, 13].

4. $2\bar{\kappa} + 3\pi + 3\bar{\tau} \neq 0$

From (16) one now obtains $\beta = -\bar{\alpha}$, which implies the restrictions $\sigma_{13} + \omega_2 = \sigma_{23} - \omega_1 = 0$ on the shear and vorticity (see appendix). From the expressions of the latter it is clear that $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 0$ is not allowed: this would imply $\tau + \bar{\pi} = 0$ and hence, using (b5), also $\bar{\kappa}\Psi_2 = 0$, which takes us back to the previous section. We can therefore fix the tetrad by requiring $\omega_2 = 0$. Writing $\omega_1 = \sqrt{2}\omega$ ($\bar{\omega} = \omega$) this can be expressed as

$$\tau = -\bar{\pi} - i\omega, \quad (32)$$

after which (b5) simplifies to

$$\kappa = \frac{i\omega}{6\Psi_2}(9\Psi_2 + S). \quad (33)$$

From $(np16) - \overline{(np7)}$ one obtains now

$$\delta\omega = -i\omega^2 - 2\omega(\bar{\pi} + \bar{\alpha}), \quad (34)$$

which, when substituted in (np2), yields

$$\pi = \frac{i\omega}{6S\Psi_2}(S^2 + 3S\Psi_2 + 54\Psi_2^2), \quad (35)$$

the substitution of which in (np7) or (np16) implies

$$\omega^2(5S^2 + 81\Psi_2^2) = 0. \quad (36)$$

Without loss of generality we can therefore assume

$$S = \frac{9i}{\sqrt{5}}\Psi_2. \quad (37)$$

Herewith (35) becomes

$$\pi = \frac{7 + i\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}}\omega, \quad (38)$$

while (15) and (7) reduce to a pair of algebraic equations, which allow one to express the real parts of ϵ and γ as functions of $\rho, \bar{\rho}, \mu$ and $\bar{\mu}$:

$$\Re((8\sqrt{5} + 9i)\rho + (4\sqrt{5} - 31i)\mu + 8\sqrt{5}\epsilon) = 0 \quad (39)$$

$$\Re((4\sqrt{5} - 31i)\rho + (8\sqrt{5} + 9i)\mu + 8\sqrt{5}\gamma) = 0. \quad (40)$$

We now can solve (np3) and (np9) for $D\omega, \Delta\omega$: expressing that the latter derivatives are real, results—with the aid of (39) and (40)—in a homogeneous system for ρ, μ and their conjugates,

$$\Re(3(9\sqrt{5} - 139i)\rho + (97\sqrt{5} + 133i)\mu) = 0, \quad (41)$$

$$\Re((97\sqrt{5} + 133i)\rho + 3(9\sqrt{5} - 139i)\mu) = 0, \quad (42)$$

from which one obtains

$$\mu = \frac{94i\sqrt{5}}{367}\rho - \frac{604 + 499i\sqrt{5}}{1101}\bar{\rho}. \quad (43)$$

A tedious calculation also allows one to solve (np11, np13, np5 + $\overline{np4}$, np15 - $\overline{np18}$) for $\delta\rho, \delta\bar{\rho}, \delta\mu, \delta\bar{\mu}$. Simplifying these results with (43) yields

$$\delta\rho = -\frac{1044\sqrt{5} - 1135i}{1835}\omega\rho + \frac{2129\sqrt{5} - 50i}{1835}\omega\bar{\rho} \quad (44)$$

$$\bar{\delta}\rho = 3\frac{4609\sqrt{5} - 285i}{3670}\omega\rho - \frac{3256\sqrt{5} + 3475i}{1835}\omega\bar{\rho} \quad (45)$$

$$\delta\mu = \frac{10412\sqrt{5} + 10145i}{5505}\omega\rho - \frac{9753\sqrt{5} + 22525i}{3670}\omega\bar{\rho} \quad (46)$$

$$\bar{\delta}\mu = -\frac{1436\sqrt{5} - 3515i}{1835}\omega\rho + \frac{3223\sqrt{5} - 2950i}{5505}\omega\bar{\rho}. \quad (47)$$

Using the latter expressions to evaluate the δ derivative of (43) eventually yields

$$(2670895i - 5018217\sqrt{5})\rho - 3(1352555i - 5051814\sqrt{5})\bar{\rho} = 0, \quad (48)$$

from which one obtains $\rho = 0$ and hence also $\mu = 0$. Herewith (np12) implies $\Psi_2 = 0$, in contradiction with the assumption that the Petrov type is D.

5. Conclusion

When, for an aligned Petrov type D purely gravito-magnetic perfect fluid, a canonical null-tetrad is chosen such that equations (3,4,5) hold, we proved that necessarily $\kappa = \lambda = \sigma = \nu = \tau = \pi = \alpha + \bar{\beta} = \delta R = 0$. Solutions are then locally rotationally symmetric and belong to one of the classes discussed in detail in [9, 10]: they either have $\rho - \bar{\rho} = \bar{\mu} - \mu$ and are non-rotating with non-vanishing shear, or they have $\rho + \bar{\rho} = \mu + \bar{\mu}$ and are rotating and shearfree.

6. Appendix

Choosing an orthonormal tetrad such that $\delta \equiv (e_1 - ie_2)/\sqrt{2}$, $D \equiv (e_3 + e_4)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\Delta \equiv (e_4 - e_3)/\sqrt{2}$ ($e_4 = u$ being the fluid velocity) and taking into account the simplifications (6), the components of the fluid kinematical quantities are given by the following expressions:

(expansion tensor)

$$\theta_{12} = 0 \quad (49)$$

$$\theta_{13} + i\theta_{23} = (\alpha + \bar{\beta} + 2\pi + 2\bar{\tau})/\sqrt{2} \quad (50)$$

$$\theta_{11} = \theta_{22} = (\mu + \bar{\mu} - \rho - \bar{\rho})/(2\sqrt{2}) \quad (51)$$

$$\theta_{33} = (\epsilon + \bar{\epsilon} - \gamma - \bar{\gamma})/\sqrt{2} \quad (52)$$

(acceleration vector)

$$\dot{u}_1 + i\dot{u}_2 = -\sqrt{2}(\pi + \bar{\kappa} + 2\bar{\tau}) \quad (53)$$

$$\dot{u}_3 = (\epsilon + \bar{\epsilon} + \gamma + \bar{\gamma})/\sqrt{2} \quad (54)$$

(vorticity vector)

$$\omega_1 + i\omega_2 = \frac{i}{2}(\alpha + \bar{\beta} - 2\bar{\tau} - 2\pi)/\sqrt{2} \quad (55)$$

$$\omega_3 = \frac{i}{2}(\rho - \bar{\rho} + \mu - \bar{\mu})/\sqrt{2}. \quad (56)$$

References

- [1] Lesame W M 1995 *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **27**, 1111
- [2] van Elst H and Ellis G F R 1996 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13**, 1099
- [3] van Elst H, Ugla C, Lesame W M, Ellis G F R and Maartens R 1997 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 1151
- [4] Marklund M 1997 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 1267
- [5] Maartens R 1997 *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 463
- [6] Maartens R, Lesame W M and Ellis G F R 1998 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15**, 1005
- [7] Fodor G, Marklund M and Perjés Z 1999 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 453
- [8] Lozanovski C and Aarons M 1999 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 4075
- [9] Lozanovski C 2002 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19**, 6377
- [10] Lozanovski C and Carminati J 2003 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 215
- [11] McIntosh C B G, Arianrhod R, Wade ST and Hoenselaers 1994 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11**, 1555 C

- [12] Barnes A 2004, *Proceedings 27th Spanish Relativity Meeting, Alicante, Spain. Sept. 2003*, eds. Miralles J A, Font J A and Pons J A, Univ. Alicante Press, see also *gr-qc/0401068*
- [13] Lozanovski C and Carminati J 2002 *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **34**, 853
- [14] Haddow B M 1995 *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 5848
- [15] Trümper M 1965 *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 584
- [16] Van den Bergh N 2003 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, L1
- [17] Van den Bergh N 2003 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, L165
- [18] Ferrando J J and Sáez J A 2004 *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **36**, 2497
- [19] Zakhary E and Carminati J 2005 *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **37**, 605
- [20] Ferrando J J and Sáez J A 2003 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 2835
- [21] Ferrando J J and Sáez J A 2004 *J. Math. Phys.* **45**, 652
- [22] Wylleman L 2006 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 2727
- [23] *Complete classification of purely magnetic, non-rotating and non-accelerating perfect fluids*, (submitted) Wylleman L and Van den Bergh N *Phys. Rev. D*
- [24] Bonnor W B 1995 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12**, 1483
- [25] Collins C B and Stewart J M 1971 *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **153**, 419
- [26] Stephani H, Kramer D, MacCallum M A H, Hoenselaers C and Herlt E 2003, *Exact Solutions to Einstein's Field Equations (Second Edition)*, Cambridge: University Press
- [27] Goode S W and Wainwright J 1986 *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **18**, 315